



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,130	07/15/2003	Jean-Claude Dufourd	1241-03	7856
35811 7590 03/27/2008 IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE 1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				
EXAMINER				
DAYE, CHELSEA L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2161				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/27/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/620,130

**Applicant(s)**

DUFOURD ET AL.

**Examiner**

CHELCIE DAYE

**Art Unit**

2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/US)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is issued in response to applicant's amendment filed February 04, 2008.
2. Claims 15-30 are presented. No claims added and claims 1-14 remain cancelled.
3. Claims 15-30 are pending.
4. Applicant's arguments filed February 04, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. **Claims 15-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kalva (US Patent No. 7,149,770) filed January 29, 1999.**

Regarding Claim 15, Kalva discloses a method for managing interactions between at least one peripheral command device and at least one multimedia application exploiting the standard MPEG-4 for displaying a scene comprising

MPEG-4 objects, said peripheral command device delivering digital signals of user interactions as a function of actions of one or more users on said scene comprising:

constructing a first digital sequence having the form of a BIFS node (Binary Form for Scenes in accordance with the standard MPEG-4) (column 4, lines 17-22 and column 5, lines 15-32, Kalva), said node comprising at least one field defining a type and number of interaction data to be applied to objects of said scene and said node specifying an association between said digital signals of user interactions and the scene objects (column 4, lines 45-50 and column 5, lines 33-52, Kalva),

wherein the at least one field defines at least one action to be applied to the scene with a parameter field, wherein a value of the parameter field corresponds to a parameter of said digital signals received from the peripheral command device (columns 7-8, lines 67 and 1-15, respectively, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 16, Kalva discloses the method further comprising transferring said first digital sequence into a composition memory using a decoding sequence of MPEG-4 systems to introduce the interaction data into a composition device for composing said scene (column 4, lines 51-67, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 17, Kalva discloses the method wherein transferring is performed under control of a flow comprising at least one flow descriptor, itself

transporting information required for configuration of the decoding sequence with an appropriate decoder (column 4, lines 31-37 and 51-67, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 18, Kalva discloses the method wherein the BIFS node comprises a number of variable fields dependent on the form of peripheral command device, and transferring the interaction data of fields of the node to fields of objects of said scene is implemented by routes (column 5, lines 46-52 and column 7, lines 41-51, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 19, Kalva discloses the method further comprising signaling activity of the device (column 8, lines 3-4, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 20, Kalva discloses the method wherein said BIFS node comprises a flag whose status enables or prevents an interaction to be taken into account (column 4, lines 45-50, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 21, Kalva discloses the method wherein signal delivery is performed in the form of a flow indicated by a descriptor, which contains information for configuring a decoding sequence with an appropriate decoder (column 4, lines 61-67 and column 6, lines 5-29, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 22, Kalva discloses the method wherein constructing the interaction data sequence is performed in a decoding buffer memory of a multimedia application execution terminal (Fig.2, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 23, Kalva discloses the method wherein translation of the interaction data sequence is performed in a decoder equipped with an interface with a composition device for composing said scene similar to an ordinary BIFS decoder for executing the BIFS- Commands decoded on the scene (column 4, lines 51-67 and columns 8-9, lines 60-67 and 1-2, respectively, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 24, Kalva discloses the method wherein flow of user interactions passes through a DMIF client associated with the device that generates access units to be placed in a decoding buffer memory linked to a corresponding decoder (column 4, lines 51-67, Kalva).

Regarding Claim 25, Kalva discloses the method wherein flow of user interactions enters into a corresponding decoder, either directly, or via an associated decoding buffer memory, thereby shortening the path taken by the user interaction flow (Fig.2, Kalva).

Claims 26-30 have the same subject matter as of claims 15-25 and essentially rejected for the same reasons as discussed above.

### ***Response to Arguments***

*Applicant argues the command descriptor of Kalva does not take the form of a BIFS node, thus Kalva cannot disclose that the node comprises a “field defining a type and number of interaction data to be applied to objects of said scene and said node specifying an association between said digital signals of user interactions and the scene objects”.*

Examiner respectfully disagrees. To begin, the examiner would like to point out that the applicant's insinuated that the command descriptor, of Kalva, was the representation for the BIFS node. However, the examiner has never explicitly stated that one equated for the other. As such, Kalva teaches that MPEG-4 defines some additional nodes that address 2-D composition, along with defining a bandwidth-efficient compressed representation called BIFS. BIFS provides the information for the scene structure, as well as objects that are purely synthetic using BIFS nodes (see col.5, lines 12-23, lines 65-67 and col.6, line 1). As shown, Kalva does in fact teach the claimed BIFS node, thus Kalva also discloses that the node comprises a field defining a type and number of interaction data to be applied to objects of said scene and said node

specifying an association between said digital signals of user interactions and the scene objects (see col.4, lines 45-50 and col.5, lines 33-55).

*Applicant argues, neither Haskell nor Kalva suggest composing a digital signal describing the actions to be performed under the claimed format, with every action including a "nature of the action" field and "parameter for the action" field, in order to form a BIFS node.*

Examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "nature of the action" field and "parameter for the action" field) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### **Conclusion**

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any



extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

***Points of Contact***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHELCIE DAYE whose telephone number is (571)272-3891. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4146080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chelcie Daye  
Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2100  
March 24, 2008

/Apu M Mofiz/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161